arXiv:0911.4275v1 [math.QA] 22 Nov 2009

A note on braids and Parseval's theorem

Jonathan Fine
15 Hanmer Road, Milton Keynes, MK6 3AY, United Kingdom

22 November 2009

Abstract

In 1988 Falk and Randell, based on Arnol'd's 1969 paper on braids, proved that the pure braid groups are residually nilpotent. They also proved that the quotients in the lower central series are free abelian groups.

This brief note uses an example to provide evidence for a much stronger statement: that each braid b can be written as an infinite sum $b = \sum_0^\infty b_i$, where each b_i is a linear function of the i-th Vassiliev-Kontsevich $Z_i(b)$ invariant of b. The example is pure braids on two strands. This leads to solving $e^\tau = q$ for τ a Laurent series in q. We set $\tau = \sum_1^\infty (-1)^{n+1}(q^n - q^{-n})/n$ and use Fourier series and Parseval's theorem to prove $e^\tau = q$.

For more than two strands the stronger statement seems to rely on an as yet unstated Plancherel theorem for braid groups, which is likely both to be both and to have deep consequences.

Throughout we will let P_n denote the group of pure braids on n-strands and $\mathcal{P}_n$ the L^2 space of square-summable functions $f : \mathcal{P}_n \to \mathbb{C}$. We will think of $v \in \mathcal{P}_n$ as a convergent infinite formal sum of elements of P_n.

For the convenience of the reader, we first restate the main result of Falk and Randell [2, pp221-2], which relies on earlier work of Arnol'd [1]. In their words (p217), they show that the lower central series of the pure braid group P_n is identical to that of an appropriate product of free groups.

Theorem 1 (Residual nilpotence, Falk and Randall)**.** For any group G the *lower central series* $\{G_i\}$ is given by $G_0 = G$ and $G_{i+1} = [G_i, G]$, where $[A, B]$ denotes the subgroup generated by commutators of elements of A and B. Let $G = P_n$. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty G_i = \{1\}$. In addition the quotients G_i/G_{i+1} are free abelian groups.

For P_n to be the fundamental group of its configuration space M_n a base point $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ must be chosen. Once that is done, each element $b \in P_n$ has Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariants $Z_i(b)$ lying in finite-dimensional value spaces $A_{n,i}$. We can now state

Conjecture 2. Let $Z_i(b)$ be as above. Then there are linear functions $\psi_i : A_{n,i} \to \mathcal{P}_n$ such that $b = \sum_{i=0}^\infty b_i$, where $b_i = \psi_i(Z_i(b))$. In addition, $\psi_{i+j}(v_i v_j) = \psi_i(v_i)\psi_j(v_j)$.

The conjecture states that the *inverse problem*, to that of computing $Z_i(b)$ from b, has a solution. We will now prove the conjecture for $n = 2$. We have $P_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Let q denote a generator of P_2 and $p = q^{-1}$ its inverse. Because the volume of the unit n-simplex is $1/n!$ it follows that $Z_n(q) = t^n/n!$ where $t = Z_1(q)$. Here is the conjecture, applied to q.

Problem 3. Find a $\tau \in \mathcal{P}_2$ such that $q = 1 + \tau + \tau^2/2! + \tau^3/3! + \ldots$.

This is a shorthand for saying first that the convolutions $\tau, \tau^2, \tau^3, \ldots$ all lie in $\mathcal{P}_2$ and second that the sum $1 + \tau + \tau^2/2! + \ldots$ converges to $q \in \mathcal{P}_2$. To prove this result we use Fourier series and Parseval's theorem. We write $\mathcal{P}_2$ as $L^2(\mathbb{Z})$. The problem now is to solve $e^\tau = q$ for τ a Laurent series in q.

We use a trick to obtain a candidate for $\tau \in L^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Write $p = q^{-1}$. We can write $q = (1 + q)/(1 + p)$ and so at least formally our candidate is $\ln(1 + q) - \ln(1 + p)$.

Definition 4.

$$\tau = \sum_1^\infty (-1)^{n+1}(q^n - p^n)/n \in \mathcal{P}_2$$

Because $\sum_1^\infty 1/n^2$ is absolutely convergent, τ is in $\mathcal{P}_2$. Note that $f(z) = \sum_1^\infty (-1)^{n+1}(z^n - z^{-n})/n$ is nowhere absolutely convergent.

The next result appears to be new. Its proof is an exercise in Fourier series which, for lack of a suitable reference, we give here. The proof has an algebraic part and an analytic part.

Theorem 5. *$\tau \in L^2(\mathbb{Z})$, as defined in Definition 4, satisfies $\exp(\tau) = q$.*

For any integrable function f defined on $[-\pi, \pi]$ we as usual let $c_n(f) = 1/2\pi \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} f(\theta)\, d\theta$ denote the n-th complex Fourier coefficient of f. For the function $f(\theta) = \theta$ we have

$$c_n(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} \theta\, d\theta = \frac{i}{2n\pi} e^{-in\theta}\theta \Big|_{-\pi}^{\pi} - \frac{i}{2n\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta}\, d\theta = \frac{i(-1)^n}{n} \tag{1}$$

for $n \neq 0$, while $c_0(f) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \theta\, d\theta = 0$. Thus, as a series τ is the Fourier transform of $i\theta$.

We can extend (1) as follows. For ψ in $L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ we use $c_n(\psi)$ to denote ψ_n, which we also interpret as the coefficient of q^n.

Theorem 6.

$$c_n(\tau^m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta}\, (i\theta)^m\, d\theta$$

Proof of Theorem 5. The algebraic part of the proof, which relies on Theorem 6, is

$$\begin{aligned}
c_n(\exp(\tau)) &= \sum \frac{c_n(\tau_m)}{m!} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} \frac{(i\theta)^m}{m!}\, d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} \sum \frac{(i\theta)^m}{m!}\, d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} e^{i\theta}\, d\theta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(1-n)\theta}\, d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $c_1 = 1$ and $c_n = 0$ otherwise. The analytic part is that the sum-integral is absolutely convergent and so, by Fubini's theorem, we can perform the integration first (which then allows us to simplify the sum). ☐

Theorem 7 (Parseval's theorem)**.** *Let $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ be integrable functions on $[-\pi, \pi]$ with complex Fourier coefficients a_n and b_n. Then $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_n \overline{b_n} = 1/2\pi \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} A(x)\overline{B(x)}\, dx$.*

Proof of Theorem 6. We rewrite the result to be proved as

$$c_n(\tau^m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (i\theta)^{m-1} \times (i\theta)\, e^{-in\theta}\, d\theta$$

and apply Parseval's theorem with $A = (i\theta)^{m-1}$ and $B = \overline{i\theta e^{-in\theta}}$ (and an induction hypothesis). This tells us that the right hand side is equal to $\sum c_k(\tau^{m-1})\overline{c_k(B)}$ and as

$$c_k(\overline{i\theta e^{-in\theta}}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \overline{i\theta} e^{in\theta} e^{-ik\theta} d\theta = c_{n-k}(\tau)$$

the result follows. ☐

The concludes the proof that $e^\tau = q$. The remaining steps required to prove the rest of the conjecture are straightforward, and are left to the reader. A longer version of this note, together with speculation on how the extending the conjecture to knots and proving it is at [3]. Proving the extension is probably hard.

Acknowledgements

I thank Phil Rippon for help with the proof of Theorem 5, and Joel Fine for reading an earlier version of this paper. Any remaining errors are mine.

References

[1] V.I. Arnold, *The cohomology ring of the group of colored braids*, Mat. Zametki **5** (1969), 227–231.

[2] M. Falk and R. Randell, *Pure braid groups and products of free groups*, Braids: Proceedings of a Summer Research Conference Held July 13-26, 1986, vol. 78, Amer Mathematical Society, 1986, p. 217.

[3] J. Fine, *Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariants and Parseval's theorem*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/0909.5178`, 2009.